

Infrastructure-based economic development also called infrastructure-driven development combines key policy characteristics inherited from the **Rooseveltian** progressivist tradition and **Neo-Keynesian economics** in the **United States**, France's **Gaullist** and Neo-Colbertist centralized economic planning, **Scandinavian social democracy** as well as **Singaporean** and **Chinese state capitalism** : it holds that a substantial proportion of a nation's resources must be systematically directed towards **long term** assets such as **transportation**, **energy** and **social infrastructure** (schools, universities, hospitals...) in the name of **long term economic efficiency** (stimulating growth in economically lagging regions and fostering technological innovation) and **social equity** (providing free education and affordable healthcare).^{[1][2]} While the benefits of infrastructure-based development can be debated, the analysis of US economic history shows that at least under some scenarios infrastructure-based investment contributes to economic growth, both nationally and locally, and can be profitable, as measured by a high rate of return. The benefits of infrastructure investment are shown both for old-style economies (ports, highways, railroads) as well as for the new age (airports, telecommunications, internet...).

Contents

- 1 Aschauer's model and other academic approaches
- 2 The 'China way'
- 3 Recent developments in North America and the EU
- 4 References